arXiv:1612.07569v1 [math.AG] 22 Dec 2016

DEGENERATION OF K3 SURFACES WITH NON-SYMPLECTIC AUTOMORPHISMS

YUYA MATSUMOTO

Abstract. We prove that a K3 surface with an automorphism acting on the global 2-forms by a primitive m-th root of unity does not degenerate if $m \neq 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ (assuming the existence of the so-called Kulikov models). To prove this we study the rationality of the actions of automorphisms on the graded quotients of the weight filtration of the l-adic cohomology groups.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be a Henselian discrete valuation ring with fraction field K and residue field k.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume* $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Kulikov model over $\mathcal{O}_K$ of a K3 surface X over K and X_0 its special fiber over k. Denote by $m = m(X)$ the order of the image of $\rho\colon \operatorname{Aut}(X) \to \operatorname{GL} H^0(X, \Omega^2_{X/K})$ (which is finite).*

(1) *Assume $m \neq 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$. Then X_0 is Type I, i.e. a smooth K3 surface.*
(2) *Assume $m \neq 1, 2$. Then X_0 is either Type I or Type II.*

See Section 4 for (the definition, the conjectural existence, and the classification of) Kulikov models of K3 surfaces.

Unlike the author's previous result on K3 surfaces with complex multiplications [Mat15, Theorem 6.3] (which can be applied only to isolated examples), Theorem 1.1(1) can show everywhere potential good reduction of K3 surfaces in families of positive dimensions. For example, Artebani–Sarti–Taki [AST11, Theorem 9.5] showed that the moduli space of K3 surface (in characteristic 0) equipped with a non-symplectic automorphism g with $\operatorname{ord}(g) = \operatorname{ord}(\rho(g)) = 5, 7, 11$ has a component of dimension $4, 2, 1$ respectively.

The key idea is describing the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ on the n-th graded quotients gr_n of the weight filtration of $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ in terms of ρ (Lemma 5.1). Supporting this idea is the rationality (and l-independence) of the action (Theorem 3.1). By calculating $\dim \operatorname{gr}_n$ (using the classification of the special fibers of Kulikov models), we can exclude certain types of degeneration.

Date: 2016/12/22.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J28; Secondary 11G25, 14L30, 14D06.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 15H05738 and 16K17560.

The assumption on m in Theorem 1.1 is optimal: see Examples 6.1–6.2.

We also have a generalized version of the theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume* $\operatorname{char} K = 0$. *Let* $X, \mathcal{X}$ *as in the previous theorem. Let* E *be the Hodge endomorphism field of* X *and assume* E *is a CM field.*

(1) *Assume* E *is not* $\mathbb{Q}$ *nor an imaginary quadratic field. Then* X_0 *is Type I.*

(2) *Assume* $E \neq \mathbb{Q}$. *Then* X_0 *is either Type I or Type II.*

See Section 5 for the precise definition of the Hodge endomorphism field E. It is either a totally real field or a CM field. The CM assumption is used in the proof only to assure the Hodge conjecture on $X \times X$.

The method of this paper can also be applied to degeneration of abelian surfaces, and to degeneration of surfaces in the complex analytic situations (as in Kulikov's paper). We omit the details.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Yuji Odaka, in a discussion with whom this study originated (see Remark 5.3). I thank Simon Brandhorst, Tetsushi Ito, Teruhisa Koshikawa, and Yukiyoshi Nakkajima for helpful discussions.

2. Transcendental lattices and 2-forms of K3 surfaces

In this section X is a K3 surface over a field F and l stands for primes different from $\operatorname{char} F$.

Let $\rho\colon \operatorname{Aut}(X) \to \operatorname{GL} H^0(X, \Omega^2_{X/F}) = F^*$ be the natural action.

Lemma 2.1. $\operatorname{Im}\rho$ *is a finite (cyclic) group.*

We denote by $m(X)$ resp. $m(g)$ the order of the group $\operatorname{Im}\rho$ resp. the element $\rho(g)$.

Proof. Characteristic 0: Nikulin [Nik81, Theorem 10.1.2] showed $\phi(m(X)) \leq 20$, using arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 below. (In particular we have $m(X) \leq 66$.)

Characteristic $p > 0$, supersingular: Nygaard [Nyg80, Theorem 2.1] showed that $m(X)$ divides $p^{\sigma_0}+1$, where σ_0 is the Artin invariant (which is a positive integer ≤ 10).

Characteristic $p > 0$, finite height: Lieblich–Maulik [LM11, Corollary 4.2] showed that there exists a Néron–Severi preserving lifting $\tilde{X}$ over $W(F)$ (after replacing F by a perfect extension), and then by [LM11, Section 6] the restriction map $\operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{X}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ has finite cokernel. Comparing the action on a 2-form on $\tilde{X}$ and its mod p reduction, we observe that this map is compatible with ρ. We reduce to the characteristic 0 case. □

We recall the transcendental lattices of K3 surfaces. Let $T_l(X)$ be the orthogonal complement of $\operatorname{NS}(X_{\overline{F}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l(-1)$ in $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ and denote by $\chi_l\colon \operatorname{Aut}(X) \to \operatorname{GL} T_l(X)$ the natural action. If $F = \mathbb{C}$ we define $T(X) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\chi\colon \operatorname{Aut}(X) \to \operatorname{GL} T(X)$ similarly. If $\operatorname{char} F > 0$ we define

$T_{\mathrm{crys}}(X) \subset H^2_{\mathrm{crys}}(X_{\overline{F}}/W(\overline{F}))$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{crys}}\colon \mathrm{Aut}(X) \to \mathrm{GL}\, T_{\mathrm{crys}}(X)$ similarly, where $W(\overline{F})$ is the Witt ring.

In characteristic 0 the following relation is well-known.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume* $\mathrm{char}\, F = 0$. *Then the characteristic polynomial of* $\chi_l(g)$ *is in* $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, *independent of* l, *equal to that of* $\chi(g)$ *if* $F = \mathbb{C}$, *and is a power of the* $m(g)$*-th cyclotomic polynomial* $\Phi_{m(g)}$.

Proof. We may assume $F = \mathbb{C}$. By the comparison of Betti and étale cohomology $\chi(g)$ and $\chi_l(g)$ have the same characteristic polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Since $H^0(X, \Omega^2) \subset T(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (by Hodge decomposition), P has a primitive $m(g)$-th root of 1 as a root, and hence divisible by $\Phi_{m(g)}$. Since $T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is irreducible as a Hodge structure, it has no other irreducible factor (in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$). □

We also need a positive characteristic version. We say that $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ in positive characteristic is *liftable to characteristic* 0 if, after replacing F by a perfect extension, there exists a proper smooth scheme $\tilde{X}$ over the Witt ring $W(F)$ whose fibers are K3 surfaces and an automorphism $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{X})$ with $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{g}) \otimes_{W(F)} F = (X, g)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume* $\mathrm{char}\, F = p > 0$.

(1) *The characteristic polynomial* P *of* $\chi_l(g)$ *is in* $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, *independent of* l, *and equal to that of* $\chi_{\mathrm{crys}}(g)$.

(2) *If* g *is liftable to characteristic* 0, *then* P *is a power of* $\Phi_{m(g)p^e}$ *for some integer* $e \geq 0$.

(3) *If* $p > 2$ *and* X *is of finite height (we no longer assume liftability), then* P *is a product of cyclotomic polynomials of the form* $\Phi_{m(g)p^e}$ *for some integers* $e \geq 0$.

Proof. We may assume F is algebraically closed.

(1) This follows from the corresponding assertions for the actions on $H^2_{\text{ét}}$ and H^2_{crys} (showed in [Ill75, 3.7.3]) and on their subspaces generated by NS (clear).

(2) Let $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{g})$ be a lifting over $W = W(F)$. As in Lemma 2.1 we obtain $m(\tilde{g}) = m(g)p^e$ for some $e \geq 0$. Since $\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X}_{\overline{\mathrm{Frac}\, W}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{NS}(X)$, we have an equivariant injection $T_l(X) \hookrightarrow T_l(\tilde{X}_{\mathrm{Frac}\, W})$, and the assertion follows from Lemma 2.2.

(3) As in the proof of Lemma 2.1 the images of χ_l and χ_{crys} are finite (and they are isomorphic representations). By replacing g with its p^N-th power for some N we may assume, without changing $m(g)$, that the order of $\chi_{\mathrm{crys}}(g)$ is prime to p. By [Jan16, Theorem 3.2], an automorphism of a K3 surface of finite height in characteristic $p > 2$ with this property is liftable to characteristic 0. Hence we reduce to (2). □

In general e in (2),(3) may be nonzero: see Example 6.3. We do not know whether P in (3) can have more than one different factors.

3. Action of correspondences on the weight spectral sequence

$\mathcal{O}_K$ is a Henselian DVR as before, and l stands for primes different from $\operatorname{char} k$. In this section we study the actions of algebraic correspondences on $X \times X$ on the l-adic cohomology groups of varieties X.

In this paper, we call an algebraic space $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ to be a *strictly semistable model* (of its generic fiber X) if it is regular and flat over $\mathcal{O}_K$, its generic fiber X over K is a smooth *scheme*, and its special fiber X_0 over k is an SNCD that is a *scheme*. ($\mathcal{X}$ itself is not assumed to be a scheme.)

We saw in [Mat15, Section 2] that, as in the works of Rapoport–Zink [RZ82] and Saito [Sai03] (in which $\mathcal{X}$ is assumed to be a scheme), we can construct from a proper strictly semistable model $\mathcal{X}$ the weight spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{i \geq \max\{0,-p\}} H_{\text{ét}}^{q-2i}(X_{\overline{0}}^{(p+2i)}, \mathbb{Q}_l(-i)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$$

where $X_0^{(p)}$ and $X_{\overline{0}}^{(p)}$ are the disjoint unions of $(p+1)$-fold intersections of the irreducible components of X_0 and $X_{\overline{0}} := X_0 \otimes_k \overline{k}$ respectively. It degenerates at E_2. If $\dim X = 2$ then we have the weight-monodromy conjecture for $H_{\text{ét}}^2$.

We denote the weight filtration (the filtration induced by this spectral sequence) by $W_n H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. This filtration is independent of the choice of a proper strictly semistable model $\mathcal{X}$ (use the argument in [Ito05, Section 2.3]).

More generally, we can define the weight filtration on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ in the following way without assuming the existence of a proper strictly semistable model of X. It is known [dJ96, Theorem 6.5] that (after replacing $\mathcal{O}_K$ by a finite extension of its completion) there exists an alteration Y of X (i.e. a proper surjective generically-finite morphism $f\colon Y \to X$ from a variety Y) that admits a proper strictly semistable model which is a scheme. The composite $f_* \circ f^*\colon H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \to H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \to H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is the multiplication by $\deg(f)$, and we regard $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to be a direct summand of $H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. We define $W_n H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to be the restriction of $W_n H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ (the weight filtration defined using a proper strictly semistable model). Again using the argument in [Ito05, Section 2.3], we can show that this filtration is independent of the choice of Y and a strictly semistable model of Y.

We let $\operatorname{gr}_n := \operatorname{gr}_n^W H_{\text{ét}}^i = W_n H_{\text{ét}}^i / W_{n-1} H_{\text{ét}}^i$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a proper smooth variety over K of dimension d, and $\Gamma \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ an algebraic correspondence. Then,*

(1) *For each i the action of Γ^* on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ preserves the weight filtration. Hence we can consider its action on $\operatorname{gr}_n = \operatorname{gr}_n^W H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$.*

(2) *For each i and for each $n = 0, 1, 2d-1, 2d$, the characteristic polynomial of $\Gamma^*|_{\operatorname{gr}_n}$ is in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and independent of l. If $d \leq 2$, this holds for all n.*

To the author's knowledge, this is not previously mentioned.

Proof. First we prove the theorem under the assumption that X admits a proper strictly semistable model $\mathcal{X}$ *that is a scheme*. Then by [Sai03, Proposition 2.20] there exists a collection of algebraic cycles $\overline{\Gamma}^{(p)} \in \mathrm{CH}^{d-p}(X_0^{(p)} \times X_0^{(p)})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ $(p \geq 0)$ such that there is an endomorphism of the weight spectral sequence which acts on

$$E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{i \geq \max\{0,-p\}} H^{q-2i}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{0}}^{(p+2i)}, \mathbb{Q}_l(-i)) \quad \text{by} \quad \bigoplus_{i \geq \max\{0,-p\}} \overline{\Gamma}^{(p+2i)*}$$

and on $H^{p+q}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ by Γ^*. Hence (1) follows.

(2) Case $n = 0$. Then $\operatorname{gr}_0 H^i_{\text{ét}} = E_2^{i,0}$ is the cohomology of the complex $E_1^{\bullet,0} = H^0_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{0}}^{(\bullet)}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. This complex is naturally isomorphic to the base change (by $\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}_l$) of the complex $V^{(\bullet)}$ of the free $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces generated by the components of $X_{\overline{0}}^{(\bullet)}$. The algebraic correspondences $\overline{\Gamma}^{(p)}$ induce an endomorphism of this complex of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces, independent of l.

Case $n = 1$. Then $\operatorname{gr}_1 H^i_{\text{ét}} = E_2^{i-1,1}$ is the cohomology of the complex $E_1^{\bullet-1,1} = H^1_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{0}}^{(\bullet-1)}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. By [Sai03, Lemma 3.6], this complex is naturally isomorphic to $T_l(A^{(\bullet-1)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l(-1)$ induced by the complex $A^{(\bullet-1)}$ of the Picard varieties of $X_{\overline{0}}^{(\bullet-1)}$. As above, $\overline{\Gamma}^{(p)}$ induce an endomorphism $(\otimes\mathbb{Q})$ of this complex of abelian varieties that is independent of l. Hence the action on $\operatorname{gr}_1 H^i_{\text{ét}}$ is the l-adic realization of an endomorphism $(\otimes\mathbb{Q})$ of an abelian variety, and therefore its characteristic polynomial has coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ and independent of l.

The cases of $n = 2d-1, 2d$ are similar to the cases of $n = 1, 0$ respectively.

Consider the latter assertion of (2). Since gr_n is 0 outside the range $0 \leq n \leq 2d$, we are done if $d \leq 1$. If $d = 2$, then the only remaining case of $n = 2$ follows from the assertions for $H^i_{\text{ét}}$ ([Sai03, Corollary 0.2]) and for gr_n for the other n's $(n = 0, 1, 3, 4)$.

Finally we show that the general case (i.e. not assuming the existence of a semistable model) can be reduced to the special case. Take an alteration $Y \to X$ as before (after replacing $\mathcal{O}_K$ if necessary). Applying (1) to $f^* \circ f_* \in \mathrm{CH}^d(Y \times Y)$ it follows that f_* takes $W_n H^i_{\text{ét}}(Y_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to $W_n H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. Hence $\Gamma^* = \deg(f)^{-2} \cdot f_* \circ (f^*\Gamma)^* \circ f^*$ preserves the filtration. We have $\operatorname{tr}(\Gamma^* \mid W_n H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)) = \deg(f)^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{tr}(f^* \circ \Gamma^* \circ f_* \mid W_n H^i_{\text{ét}}(Y_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l))$. □

In fact, for $n = 1, 2d-1$ we have proved:

Corollary 3.2. *Let X and Γ be as in Theorem 3.1. Then $\Gamma^* \in \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{gr}_n H^i_{\text{ét}})$, $n = 1$, lies in the image of the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\operatorname{End}(B^{(i)})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $B^{(i)}$ is an abelian variety with $\operatorname{gr}_n H^i_{\text{ét}} \cong H^1_{\text{ét}}(B^{(i)}_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. Similar for $n = 2d-1$.*

$B^{(i)}$ is obtained as the i-th "cohomology" of the complex $A^{(\bullet-1)}$ above.

Remark 3.3. We can also show Theorem 3.1 without using alterations if X admits a strictly semistable model which is not necessarily a scheme (which is the case in the setting of our main theorems). To do this, we need to generalize [Sai03, Proposition 2.20] to the algebraic space case. Most of its proof is étale-local (hence can be reduced to the scheme case), and the only non-trivial part is the construction ([Sai03, Lemma 2.17]) of cycle classes $\Gamma^{(p)}$ on $X_0^{(p)}$ for a cycle class Γ on the generic fiber X. Although we cannot mimic the construction of Saito (which uses locally-free resolution of coherent sheaves), we can take an algebraic cycle on $X_0^{(p)}$ to be the intersection with the closure of (a cycle representing) Γ, and then check the required properties étale-locally. We omit the details.

4. Kulikov models

Definition 4.1. Let X be a K3 surface or an abelian surface over K. An algebraic space $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ with generic fiber X is a *Kulikov model* of X if it is a proper strictly semistable model (in the sense of the previous section) and its relative canonical divisor $K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K}$ is trivial.

Remark 4.2. The standard and conditional recipe to construct a Kulikov model of a K3 (or an abelian) surface X is the following. Take a proper strictly semistable model of X (after extending K), then apply suitable MMP to get a (log terminal) model with nef canonical divisor (then the canonical divisor is in fact trivial), and then apply Artin's simultaneous resolution to make it semistable. If the residue field k is of characteristic 0 this is unconditional. If $\operatorname{char} k = p > 0$ then the existence of a semistable model is still conjectural and the MMP is proved only for $p \geq 5$ [Kaw94]. Hence, if $\operatorname{char} k = p > 0$, we need the semistable reduction conjecture plus the assumption $p \geq 5$ to conclude potential good reduction from $m(X) \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$.

Classification of the special fibers of Kulikov models is given by Kulikov [Kul77, Theorem II] in characteristic 0 and Nakkajima [Nakk00, Proposition 3.4] in characteristic > 0. Then the computation of $\dim \mathrm{gr}_n$ is straightforward. We summarize:

Proposition 4.3. *Let X be a K3 surface and $\mathcal{X}$ a Kulikov model.*

(1) *The geometric special fiber $X_{\overline{0}} = X_0 \otimes \overline{k}$ is one of the following.*
   - *Type I: a smooth K3 surface.*
   - *Type II: $X_{\overline{0}} = Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_N$ is a "chain" of surfaces, with Z_1 and Z_N rational and others elliptic ruled (i.e. birational to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over an elliptic curve), $Z_i \cap Z_j$ is an elliptic curve if $|i - j| = 1$, and there are no other intersections.*
   - *Type III: $X_{\overline{0}}$ is a union of rational surfaces, each double curve is rational, and the dual graph of the components forms a triangulation of S^2 (a 2-dimensional sphere).*

(2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l} \mathrm{gr}_n H^2_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ *($n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) of a Kulikov model of a K3 surface depends only on the type, and is given by the following.*

- *Type I:* $0, 0, 22, 0, 0$.
- *Type II:* $0, 2, 18, 2, 0$.
- *Type III:* $1, 0, 20, 0, 1$.

5. Proof of the main theorems

Lemma 5.1. *Let $K, X, \mathcal{X}$ as in Theorem 1.1 (so $\mathrm{char}\, K \neq 2$). Assume either $\mathrm{char}\, K = 0$ or ($\mathrm{char}\, K = p > 2$ and) $\mathrm{ht}(X) < \infty$. Assume Type II or III, and let $n = 3$ or $n = 4$ respectively. Then any eigenvalue of the action of $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ on $\mathrm{gr}_n = \mathrm{gr}^W_n H^2_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is a primitive m'-th root of 1, where $m' = m(g)$ if $\mathrm{char}\, K = 0$ and $m' = m(g)p^e$ for some $e \geq 0$ if $\mathrm{char}\, K = p > 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3 we have $\mathrm{gr}_n = W_n/W_2 \neq 0$.

By the definition of monodromy filtration (which coincides with the weight filtration up to shift) we have $\mathrm{NS}(X_{\overline{K}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l(-1) \subset \mathrm{Ker}\, N \subset W_2 H^2_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. Hence $\mathrm{gr}_n = W_n/W_2$ is a quotient of $T_l(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$. We reduce to Lemmas 2.2–2.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $\mathrm{char}\, K > 0$ and $\mathrm{ht}(X) \geq 3$, then it is proved in [RZS82, Section 2, Corollary] (without using the information on automorphisms) that X_0 is of Type I: Since the height is upper semi-continuous and Type II resp. III have height ≤ 2 resp. $= 1$, X_0 cannot be Type II nor III.

Now assume either $\mathrm{char}\, K = 0$ or $\mathrm{ht}(X) < \infty$. We can apply Lemma 5.1 on $\psi_l \colon \mathrm{Aut}(X) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{gr}_n)$.

Assume Type II. Let $n = 3$. By Corollary 3.2, ψ_l factors through $(\mathrm{End}(C)_{\mathbb{Q}})^*$, where C is the elliptic curve appearing as the intersection of two components of X_0. For any $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, $\psi_l(g)$ belongs to a (commutative) $\mathbb{Q}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(C)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by a single element ($\psi_l(g)$ itself), and such a subalgebra is either $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic field. Hence $m' \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and so is $m(g)$.

Assume Type III. Let $n = 4$. Similarly by Theorem 3.1 we have $\psi_l(g) \in \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^*$. Hence $m' \in \{1, 2\}$ and so is $m(g)$. □

Corollary 5.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is Type III. Then the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ on the (2-element) set of orientations of S^2 (which the dual graph of X_0 triangulates) coincides with $\rho \colon \mathrm{Aut}(X) \to \mathrm{GL}\, H^0(X, \Omega^2)$.*

Proof. Indeed, we have $\mathrm{gr}_4 = E_2^{-2,4} = \mathrm{Ker}(H^0_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{0}}^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q}_l(-2)) \to H^2_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{0}}^{(1)}, \mathbb{Q}_l(-1))) = H_2(S^2, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_l(-2)$ and the two generators of $H_2(S^2, \mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to the two orientations. □

Remark 5.3. Actually it was this assertion (Corollary 5.2), proposed by Yuji Odaka, that led the author to the study of this paper. The author first looked for an example of a Type III degeneration with $m(X) = |\mathrm{Im}\, \rho| \geq 3$,

which would be a counterexample to the assertion, but failed to find one. It turned out that such examples do not exist!

Next we prove Theorem 1.2. First we define the Hodge endomorphism field.

Let X be a K3 surface over a field F of characteristic 0. Let $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a K3 surface over $\mathbb{C}$ isomorphic to X over some field F' containing both F and $\mathbb{C}$ (such $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ always exists). We call $E = \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{HS}}(T(X_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}})$ the Hodge endomorphism field of X. It is known that E is either a totally real field or a CM field ([Zar83, Theorem 1.5.1]).

This definition of E depends a priori on the choice of $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. However, if the Hodge conjecture for the self-product of a K3 surface holds, then every element of E can be realized as the action of an algebraic cycle on $X_{\mathbb{C}} \times X_{\mathbb{C}}$, hence of an algebraic cycle on $X \times X$, and therefore E (up to isomorphism) depends only on X. The conjecture for $X_{\mathbb{C}} \times X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is proved by Ramón Marí [RM08, Theorem 5.4] under the assumption that E (of $X_{\mathbb{C}}$) is a CM field. Thus, for a CM field E, the statement "E is the Hodge endomorphism field of X" is well-defined, and the statement of Theorem 1.2 should be understood accordingly.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume Type II or III, and let $n = 3$ or $n = 4$ respectively. We have two homomorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras $\psi_l \colon \mathrm{CH}^2(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{gr}_n)$ and $\chi \colon \mathrm{CH}^2(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{HS}} T(X_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong E$. As mentioned above, the Hodge conjecture for $X \times X$ is true, hence the E-action is realized as algebraic correspondences, i.e. χ is surjective. Since $T(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \to \mathrm{gr}_n$ is surjective, we have a surjection $\mathrm{Im}\,\chi \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Im}\,\psi_l$. Since $\mathrm{Im}\,\chi \cong E$ is a field and $\mathrm{Im}\,\psi_l$ is nonzero, this surjection is an isomorphism.

Type II ($n = 3$): By Corollary 3.2, $\mathrm{Im}\,\psi_l$ is contained in $\mathrm{End}(C)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for some elliptic curve C. A (commutative) subfield of $\mathrm{End}(C)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is either $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic field.

Type III ($n = 4$): Similarly by Theorem 3.1, $\mathrm{Im}\,\psi_l$ is contained in $M_1(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$. □

Remark 5.4. The Hodge endomorphism field E clearly contains $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$, $m = m(X)$, and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ resp. an imaginary quadratic field if and only if $m = 1, 2$ resp. $m = 3, 4, 6$. Thus Theorem 1.2 generalizes Theorem 1.1.

6. Examples

The following two examples show that the assumptions on m, E in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (in the CM field case) are optimal.

Example 6.1 (Type III)**.** Assume $\mathrm{char}\, k \neq 2$. Consider the family $\mathcal{X}'$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ of quartic surfaces given by $t(w^4 + x^4 + y^4 + z^4) + wxyz = 0$, where t is a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_K$. This is not a Kulikov model, since it has non-regular points, but we can perform small blow-ups to obtain a Kulikov model $\mathcal{X}$. (For example, we can resolve the singularity at $t = w = x = y^4 + z^4$ by blowing-up either the ideal (t, w) or (t, x) at a neighborhood.) Then the

special fiber is Type III (whose dual graph is a tetrahedron). The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_4$ acts on X (over K) naturally and its action on the 2-forms is given by $\mathrm{sgn} : \mathfrak{S}_4 \twoheadrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$. Hence $m(X)$ is divisible by 2.

Example 6.2 (Type II)**.** Again assume $\mathrm{char}\, k \neq 2$. Let E be an imaginary quadratic field. Let C_1 be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an order of E, and C_2 an elliptic curve with multiplicative reduction. Let $\mathcal{C}_i$ be the minimal regular models of C_i over $\mathcal{O}_K$. We may assume that $(C_2)_0$ has an even number of components (by extending K if necessary). Let ι be the multiplication-by-(-1) map on $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}_1 \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}_2$ (then $\mathrm{Fix}(\iota)$ is finite étale over $\mathcal{O}_K$), and $\mathcal{X}$ the blow-up of $\mathcal{A}/\iota$ at the image of $\mathrm{Fix}(\iota)$. Then $\mathcal{X}$ is a Kulikov model of $X = \mathrm{Km}(C_1 \times C_2)$ with Type II degeneration, $T(X_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H^1((C_1)_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^1((C_2)_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{HS}}\, T(X) \supset E$.

In particular, if we take C_1 to be the elliptic curve with an automorphism of order 4 resp. 6, then we have an example of Type II degeneration with $m(X)$ divisible by 4 resp. 6.

The following examples show that in general e in Lemma 2.3(2),(3) may be nonzero.

Example 6.3. Let ζ_3, ζ_{11} be 3-rd, 11-th roots of 1 respectively. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}_3(\zeta_3, \zeta_{11})$. Let X_K be the elliptic K3 surface over K defined by $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-1-\zeta_3) + t^{11}$, and define automorphisms g_3, g_{11} by $g_3(x,y,t) = (\zeta_3 x + 1, y, t)$ and $g_{11}(x,y,t) = (x, y, \zeta_{11} t)$. Then g_3 and g_{11} commute, are of orders 3 and 11, and act on $H^0(X_K, \Omega^2_{X_K/K})$ by ζ_3 and ζ_{11} respectively. Then $g := g_3 g_{11}$ is of order 33 and $m(g) = 33$. The same formula defines a relative K3 surface $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}_3[\zeta_3, \zeta_{11}]$ and automorphisms $g_3, g_{11}, g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$. We observe that the characteristic polynomial of g^* on $H^2_{\text{ét}}$ (of the generic fiber and hence also of the special fiber) is $\Phi_1^2 \Phi_{33}$. In this case $m(g|_{X_0}) = 11$ but $m(g|_{X_K}) = 33 = 11 \cdot 3$. The special fiber is not supersingular, since if it is then $m(g|_{X_0}) = 11$ divides $p^{\sigma_0} + 1$ by [Nyg80, Theorem 2.1], where σ_0 is the Artin invariant, but such an integer σ_0 does not exist.

A similar example with $p = 7$, $K = \mathbb{Q}_7(\zeta_7, \zeta_6)$, $m(g|_{X_0}) = 6$, $m(g|_{X_K}) = 42 = 6 \cdot 7$: $y^2 = x^3 + \prod_{i=0}^{6}(t - a_i)$, where $a_i = (\zeta_7^i - 1)/(\zeta_7 - 1)$, $g_7(x,y,t) = (x, y, \zeta_7 t + 1)$, $g_6(x,y,t) = (\zeta_6^4 x, \zeta_6^3 y, t)$, $g = g_7 g_6$, the characteristic polynomial of g^* is $\Phi_1^{10}\Phi_{42}$.

A similar example with $p = 2$, $K = \mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_{21})$, $m(g|_{X_0}) = 21$, $m(g|_{X_K}) = 42 = 21 \cdot 2$: $y^2 - y = x^3 + t^7$, $g_2(x,y,t) = (x, -y+1, t)$, $g_{21}(x,y,t) = (\zeta_{21}^7 x, y, \zeta_{21}^{15} t)$, $g = g_2 g_{21}$, the characteristic polynomial of g^* is $\Phi_1^{10}\Phi_{42}$.

References

[AST11] Michela Artebani, Alessandra Sarti, and Shingo Taki, *K3 surfaces with non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order*, Math. Z. **268** (2011), no. 1-2, 507–533. With an appendix by Shigeyuki Kondō.

[dJ96] A. J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **83** (1996), 51–93.

[Ill75] Luc Illusie, *Report on crystalline cohomology*, Algebraic geometry (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 29, Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1974), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1975, pp. 459–478.

[Ito05] Tetsushi Ito, *Weight-monodromy conjecture over equal characteristic local fields*, Amer. J. Math. **127** (2005), no. 3, 647–658.

[Jan16] Junmyeong Jang, *A lifting of an automorphism of a K3 surface over odd characteristic*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, posted on 2016, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnw071, (to appear in print).

[Kaw94] Yujiro Kawamata, *Semistable minimal models of threefolds in positive or mixed characteristic*, J. Algebraic Geom. **3** (1994), no. 3, 463–491.

[Kul77] Viktor S. Kulikov, *Degenerations of $K3$ surfaces and Enriques surfaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **41** (1977), no. 5, 1008–1042, 1199 (Russian).

[LM11] Max Lieblich and Davesh Maulik, *A note on the cone conjecture for K3 surfaces in positive characteristic* (2011), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.3377v3`.

[Mat15] Yuya Matsumoto, *Good reduction criterion for K3 surfaces*, Math. Z. **279** (2015), no. 1–2, 241–266.

[Nakk00] Yukiyoshi Nakkajima, *Liftings of simple normal crossing log $K3$ and log Enriques surfaces in mixed characteristics*, J. Algebraic Geom. **9** (2000), no. 2, 355–393.

[Nik81] V. V. Nikulin, *Factor groups of groups of automorphisms of hyperbolic forms with respect to subgroups generated by 2-reflections. Algebrogeometric applications*, Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 18, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Informatsii, Moscow, 1981, pp. 3–114 (Russian). English translation: J. Soviet Math. **22** (1983), no. 4, 1401–1475.

[Nyg80] Niels O. Nygaard, *Higher de Rham-Witt complexes of supersingular $K3$ surfaces*, Compositio Math. **42** (1980/81), no. 2, 245–271.

[RM08] José J. Ramón Marí, *On the Hodge conjecture for products of certain surfaces*, Collect. Math. **59** (2008), no. 1, 1–26.

[RZ82] Michael Rapoport and Thomas Zink, *Über die lokale Zetafunktion von Shimuravarietäten. Monodromiefiltration und verschwindende Zyklen in ungleicher Charakteristik*, Invent. Math. **68** (1982), no. 1, 21–101 (German).

[RZS82] A. N. Rudakov, T. Zink, and I. R. Shafarevich, *The influence of height on degenerations of algebraic surfaces of type $K3$*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), no. 1, 117–134, 192 (Russian). English translation: Math. USSR-Izv. **20** (1982), no. 1, 119–135 (1983).

[Sai03] Takeshi Saito, *Weight spectral sequences and independence of l*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **2** (2003), no. 4, 583–634.

[Zar83] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hodge groups of $K3$ surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **341** (1983), 193–220.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusaku, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan

E-mail address: `matsumoto.yuya@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`